Devi Glick, Associate

Synapse Energy Economics I 485 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 2 I Cambridge, MA 02139
dglick@synapse-energy.com

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Synapse Energy Economics Inc., Cambridge, MA. *Associate*, January 2018 – Present

Conducts research and provides consulting on energy sector issues. Examples include:

- modeling for resource planning using PLEXOS utility planning software, analysis of system-level cost impacts of energy efficiency nationwide;
- rate design for distributed energy resources within the state of Hawaii; and
- developing a manual and providing quality control for a tool to analyze the impacts of climate measures and energy policies in Morocco.

Rocky Mountain Institute, Basalt, CO. August 2012 – September 2017

Senior Associate

- Led technical analysis, modeling, training and capacity building work for utilities and governments in Sub-Saharan Africa around integrated resource planning for the central electricity grid energy and identified over a billion dollars in savings based on improved resource-planning processes.
- Represented RMI as a content expert and presented materials on electricity pricing and rate design at conferences and events.
- Led a project to research and evaluate utility resource planning and spending processes, focusing specifically on integrated resource planning, to highlight systematic overspending on conventional resources and underinvestment and underutilization of distributed energy resources as a least-cost alternative.

Associate

- Led modeling analysis in collaboration with NextGen Climate America which identified a CO_2 loophole in the Clean Power Plan of 250 million tons, or 41 percent of EPA projected abatement, and was submitted as an official federal comment, and led to a modification to address the loophole in the final rule.
- Led financial and economic modeling in collaboration with a major U.S. utility to quantify the impact that solar PV would have on their sales, and helped them identify alternative business models that would allow them to recapture a significant portion of this at-risk value.

- Supported the planning, content development, facilitation, and execution of numerous events and workshops with participants from across the electricity sector for RMI's Electricity Innovation Lab (eLab) initiative.
- Co-authored two studies reviewing valuation methodologies for solar PV and laying out new principles and recommendations around pricing and rate design for a distributed energy future in the United States. These studies have been highly cited by the industry and submitted as evidence in numerous Public Utility Commission rate cases.

The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI. *Graduate Student Instructor*, September 2011 – July 2012

Prepared lesson plans, taught classes, graded papers and other coursework, met regularly with students.

The Virginia Sea Grant at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, VA. *Policy Intern*, Summer 2011

Managed a communication network analysis study of coastal resource management stakeholders on the Eastern Shore of the Delmarva Peninsula.

The Commission for Environmental Cooperation (NAFTA), Montreal, QC. *Short Term Educational Program/Intern*, Summer 2010

Researched energy and climate issues relevant to the NAFTA parties to assist the executive director in conducting a GAP analysis of emission monitoring, reporting, and verification systems in North America.

Congressman Tom Allen, Portland, ME. *Technology Systems and Outreach Coordinator*, August 2007 – December 2008

Directed Congressman Allen's technology operation, responded to constituent requests, and represented the Congressman at events throughout southern Maine.

EDUCATION

The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
Master of Public Policy, Gerald R. Ford School of Public Policy, 2012
Master of Science, School of Natural Resources and the Environment, 2012
Masters Project: *Climate Change Adaptation Planning in U.S. Cities*

Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT
Bachelor of Arts, 2007
Environmental Studies, Policy Focus; Minor in Spanish
Thesis: *Environmental Security in a Changing National Security Environment: Reconciling Divergent Policy Interests, Cold War to Present*

PUBLICATIONS

Fagan, B., R. Wilson, S. Fields, D. Glick, D. White. 2018. *Nova Scotia Power Inc. Thermal Generation Utilization and Optimization: Economic Analysis of Retention of Fossil-Fueled Thermal Fleet To and Beyond 2030 – M08059*. Prepared for Board Counsel to the Nova Scotia Utility Review Board.

Ackerman, F., D. Glick, T. Vitolo. 2018. *Report on CCR proposed rule*. Prepared for Earthjustice.

Lashof, D. A., D. Weiskopf, D. Glick. 2014. *Potential Emission Leakage Under the Clean Power Plan and a Proposed Solution: A Comment to the US EP*A. NextGen Climate America.

Smith, O., M. Lehrman, D. Glick. 2014. *Rate Design for the Distribution Edge*. Rocky Mountain Institute.

Hansen, L., V. Lacy, D.Glick. 2013. *A Review of Solar PV Benefit & Cost Studies*. Rocky Mountain Institute.

TESTIMONY

Public Service Commission of South Carolina (Docket No. 2018-2-E): Direct testimony of Devi Glick on avoided cost calculations and the costs and benefits of solar net energy metering. On behalf of South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy. April 12, 2018.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina (Docket No. 2018-2-E): Surrebuttal testimony of Devi Glick on avoided cost calculations and the costs and benefits of solar net energy metering. On behalf of South Carolina Coastal Conservation League and Southern Alliance for Clean Energy. April 4, 2018.

Resume updated May 2018

Net Energy Metering ("NEM") Methodology

+/- Avoided Energy
+/- Energy Losses/Line Losses
+/- Avoided Capacity
+/- Ancillary Services
+/- Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") Capacity
+/- Avoided Criteria Pollutants
+/- Avoided CO_2 Emission Cost
+/- Fuel Hedge
+/- Utility Integration & Interconnection Costs
+/- Utility Administration Costs
+/- Environmental Costs
= **Total Value of NEM Distributed Energy Resource**

The following table details the components of the Methodology.

Methodology Component	Description	Calculation Methodology/Value
+/- Avoided Energy	Increase/reduction in variable costs to the Utility from conventional energy sources, i.e. fuel use and power plant operations, associated with the adoption of NEM.	Component is the marginal value of energy derived from production simulation runs per the Utility's most recent Integrated Resource Planning ("IRP") study and/or Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act ("PURPA") Avoided Cost formulation.
+/- Energy Losses/Line Losses	Increase/reduction of electricity losses by the Utility from the points of generation to the points of delivery associated with the adoption of NEM.	Component is the generation, transmission, and distribution loss factors from either the Utility's most recent cost of service study or its approved Tariffs. Average loss factors are more readily available, but marginal loss data is more appropriate and should be used when available.
+/- Avoided Capacity	Increase/reduction in the fixed costs to the Utility of building and maintaining new conventional generation resources associated with the adoption of NEM.	Component is the forecast of marginal capacity costs derived from the Utility's most recent IRP and/or PURPA Avoided Cost formulation. These capacity costs should be adjusted for the appropriate energy losses.
+/- Ancillary Services	Increase/reduction of the costs of services for the Utility such as operating reserves, voltage control, and frequency regulation needed for grid stability associated with the adoption of NEM.	Component includes the increase/decrease in the cost of each Utility's providing or procurement of services, whether services are based on variable load requirements and/or based on a fixed/static requirement, i.e. determined by an N-1 contingency. It also includes the cost of future NEM technologies like "smart inverters" if such technologies can provide services like VAR support, etc.

Methodology Component	Description	Calculation Methodology/Value
+/- T&D Capacity	Increase/reduction of costs to the Utility associated with expanding, replacing and/or upgrading transmission and/or distribution capacity associated with the adoption of NEM.	Marginal T&D distribution costs will need to be determined to expand, replace, and/or upgrade capacity on each Utility's system. Due to the nature of NEM generation, this analysis will be highly locational as some distribution feeders may or may not be aligned with the NEM generation profile although they may be more aligned with the transmission system profile/peak. These capacity costs should be adjusted for the appropriate energy losses.
+/- Avoided Criteria Pollutants	Increase/reduction of SOx, NOx, and PM10 emission costs to the Utility due to increase/reduction in production from the Utility's marginal generating resources associated with the adoption of NEM generation if not already included in the Avoided Energy component	The costs of these criteria pollutants are most likely already accounted for in the Avoided Energy Component, but, if not, they should be accounted for separately. The Avoided Energy component must specify if these are included.
+/- Avoided CO_2 Emissions Cost	Increase/reduction of CO_2 emissions due to increase/reduction in production from each Utility's marginal generating resources associated with the adoption of NEM generation.	The cost of CO_2 emissions may be included in the Avoided Energy Component, but, if not, they should be accounted for separately. A zero monetary value will be used until state or federal laws or regulations result in an avoidable cost on Utility systems for these emissions.
+/- Fuel Hedge	Increase/reduction in administrative costs to the Utility of locking in future price of fuel associated with the adoption of NEM.	Component includes the increases/decreases in administrative costs of any Utility's current fuel hedging program as a result of NEM adoption and the cost or benefit associated with serving a portion of its load with a resource that has less volatility due to fuel costs than certain fossil fuels. This value does not include commodity gains or losses and may currently be zero.
+/- Utility Integration & Interconnection Costs	Increase/reduction of costs borne by each Utility to interconnect and integrate NEM.	Costs can be determined most easily by detailed studies and/or literature reviews that have examined the costs of integration and interconnection associated with the adoption of NEM. Appropriate levels of photovoltaic penetration increases in South Carolina should be included.
+/- Utility Administration Costs	Increase/reduction of costs borne by each Utility to administer NEM.	Component includes the incremental costs associated with net metering, such as hand billing of net metering customers and other administrative costs.
+/- Environmental Costs	Increase/reduction of environmental compliance and/or system costs to the Utility.	The environmental compliance and/or Utility system costs might be accounted for in the Avoided Energy component, but, if not, should be accounted for separately. The Avoided Energy component must specify if these are included. These environmental compliance and/ or Utility system costs must be quantifiable and not based on estimates.

Avoided Transmission Capacity Calculation

Row		Value	Source
1	Tx Peak (MW)	23,622	2016 FERC Form 1
2	Peak (MW)	18,022	2016 FERC Form 1
3	Tx Year End Balance ($)	3,568,696,873	2016 FERC Form 1
4	Depreciation ($)	71,186,690	2016 FERC Form 1
5	Net Tx Year End Balance ($)	3,497,510,183	Row3 - Row4
6	Net Tx Balance ($/kW)	148.06	(Row5 / Row3) / 1000
7	Solar Summer Capacity Credit	46%	DEC 2017 IRP p 22
8	Solar Winter Capacity Credit	5%	DEC 2017 IRP p 22
9	Estimated Solar Capacity Factor	16.8%	PV Watts, Florence, SC
10	Summer Avoided Tx Value due to PV ($/kWh)	0.046259	(Row6 x Row7)/(8760 x Row9)
11	Winter Avoided Tx Value due to PV ($/kWh)	0.005028	(Row6 x Row8)/(8760 x Row9)

Avoided Environmental Costs Related to Coal Ash Calculation - PUBLIC Version (redacted)

Row		Cliffside	Marshall	Total	Source
1	Capital Expenditures on Coal Ash Landfills Since 2010	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	Supplemental Discovery Response to CCL/SACE 1-10f
2	Estimated Closure Date of Existing Coal Ash Landfills	May 2023	April 2025		Discovery Response to CCL/SACE 1-10k
3	Life of Current Coal Ash Landfills	13 years, 5 months	15 years, 4 months		Calculated based on Discovery Response to CCL/SACE 1-10f and 1-10k
4	Historic Generation (2010 - 2017) (MWh)	27,652,311	80,869,307	108,521,618	2010 - 2017 EIA Form 923
5	Average Annual Generation (MWh)	4,029,512	9,769,726	13,799,238	Annual Average of Row 4
6	Estimated Generation (2010 - Landfill Closure Date) (MWh)	54,062,614	149,802,467	203,865,081	Row 5 x Row 3
7	Total Annual Daytime Hours Coal is on the Margin (%)			[redacted]	Calculated from Discovery Response to CCL/SACE 1-9
8	Annual Avoided Coal Ash Landfill Cost ($/kWh)			$0.000022	((Row 1 / Row 6) x Row 7) / (1000 kWh/MWh)